

SUMMARY REPORT DIGEST-  
COMPLAINT REGISTER INVESTIGATION NO.:  
CHICAGO POLICE DEPARTMENT

255196

DATE OF REPORT (DAY-MO.-YEAR)

11 Aug 99

To be used in all cases that are to be classified as either EXONERATED, UNFOUNDED, NOT SUSTAINED, or in SUSTAINED cases where the Disciplinary Recommendation does not exceed FIVE (5) DAYS SUSPENSION.

SUBMIT ORIGINAL AND 3 COPIES IF ASSIGNED TO SAME UNIT AS ACCUSED.  
SUBMIT ORIGINAL AND 4 COPIES IF NOT ASSIGNED TO SAME UNIT AS ACCUSED.

TO: SUPERINTENDENT OF POLICE

ATTENTION ☐ ADMINISTRATOR IN CHARGE, OFFICE OF PROFESSIONAL STANDARDS  
☐ ASSISTANT DEPUTY SUPERINTENDENT, INTERNAL AFFAIRS DIVISION

FROM-INVIGATOR'S NAME		RANK	STAR NO.	SOCIAL SEC. NO.	EMPLOYEE NO.	UNIT ASSIGN.
Richard Scott		Sgt.	2178			008
ADDRESS OF INCIDENT		DATE OF INCIDENT - TIME		BEAT OF INCIDENT		LOCATION CODE*
6459 S. Western		19 Jul 99/ 1650hrs.		825		03
ACCUSED	NAME	RANK	STAR NO.	SOCIAL SEC. NO.	EMPLOYEE NO.	UNIT ASSIGN.
	1. Timothy Silder	P.O.	18260			008
	2. Brian Forberg	P.O.	18761			008
	SEX/RACE	D.O.B.	DATE OF APPOINTMENT		DUTY STATUS (TIME OF INCIDENT)	
	1. M/2	65	02 May 94		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> ON DUTY <input type="checkbox"/> OFF DUTY	
	2. M/2	60	10 Jul 95		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> ON DUTY <input type="checkbox"/> OFF DUTY	
IF APPLICABLE - DATE ARRESTED/INDICTED		CHARGES		COURT BRANCH		DISPOSITION & DATE
1.						
2.						
COMPLAINANTS	NAME	*ADDRESS**		CITY STATE	TELEPHONE	SEX/RACE
VICTIMS	NAME	*ADDRESS**		CITY STATE	TELEPHONE	SEX/RACE
WITNESSES	NAME	*ADDRESS**		CITY STATE	TELEPHONE	SEX/RACE

☐ SEE ATTACHED SHEET FOR ADDITIONAL ACCUSED, COMPLAINANTS, VICTIMS, WITNESSES.

ALLEGATIONS

The complainant alleged that she was involved in a traffic accident and that the accuse failed to investigate or complete a case report.

I.A.D. LOCATION CODES\*

01 Food Sales/Restaurant	11 Public Transportation Veh./Facility
02 Tavern/Liquor Store	12 Park District Property
03 Other Business Establishment	13 Airport
04 Police Building	14 Public Property - Other
05 Lockup Facility	15 Other Private Premise
06 Police Maintenance Facility	16 Expressway/Interstate System
07 CPD Automotive Pound Facility	17 Public Way - Other
08 Other Police Property	18 Waterway, Incl. Park District
09 Police Communications System	19 Private Residence
10 Court Room	

I.A.D. PHYSICAL CONDITION CODES†

01 No Visible Injury - Apparently Normal
02 No Visible Injury - Under Influence
03 Injured, Not Hospitalized
04 Injured, Not Hospitalized - Under Influence
05 Injured, Hospitalized
06 Injured, Hospitalized - Under Influence
07 Injured, Refused Medical Aid
08 Injured, Refused Medical Aid - Under Influence
09 Deceased
10 Deceased - Under Influence

\* \* IF CPD MEMBER, LIST RANK, STAR, SOCIAL SECURITY, EMPLOYEE NOS. IN ADDRESS BOX, PAX/BELL IN TELEPHONE BOX.

CPD-44.112A (1/84)

C.R. NO.

255196

Briefly summarize the investigation describing your efforts to prove or disprove the allegation(s). Indicate whether witnesses or evidence support or do not support the allegation(s).  
In sustained cases ONLY, copies of the accused member's Summary of Previous Disciplinary Actions and Record of Previous Complimentary History will be included as attachments.

SUMMARY

R/Sgt. was assigned to investigate CR# 255196 on 22 Jul 99. R/Sgt. contacted complainant, [REDACTED] via the telephone, and interviewed her regarding her allegations (attachment 2). Complainant related that she was involved in traffic crash, and that the other party involved would not give her his information, but that he had given it to salesman at Metro Ford, who in turn would give it to her when the police arrived. She stated that the police arrived and sent her inside to get the information, but upon going inside the salesman did not provide the information and when she returned outside the police left the scene without making a report. R/Sgt then went to Metro Ford and spoke with the General Manager and the two salesmen who were on the scene the day of the incident, (attachment 3). The general manager indicated that he remembered the incident and that the complainant was highly agitated, screaming and yelling at the police and the salesmen, but had no specifics of any conversations. The salesmen stated that they remembered the incident but they did not have the other party's information, and if the complainant and police thought they did, they were mistaken. The accused officers submitted to-from reports, (attachments 7 & 11) stating that they responded to a property damage only accident at Metro Ford and that they were met by the complainant who was extremely irate. The officers stated that the complainant told them that the other driver left his information with a salesman at Metro Ford, and that the salesman would provide it to her when the police arrived. The officers explained that once she had the information of the other driver, that she could go into the 008th district and make a police report. The officers stated that the salesman indicated he would provide the complainant with the information. The officers then left the scene, coming clear with a code of 18-P, believing that all parties were satisfied.

R/Sgt. used the event number to obtain the location history, (attachment 13), which indicates that assignment was dispatched as a property damage only accident. R/Sgt. referred to G.O. 98-1-2, II-B, hit and run traffic crashes. This order indicated that if an individual remains at the scene until he has reasonably identified himself as being involved in a traffic crash, that the crash is not a hit and run. Given the fact that the officers were informed by the complainant that other driver had provided his information to a salesman, along with the salesman's indication that he would provide the information to the complainant the officers were in compliance with Dept. policy when they sent the complainant into the 008th district to make a one-party report. The fact that the salesman was unable to provide the information was most likely a miscommunication caused by the agitated state of the complainant who was screaming and yelling at both the police officers and the salesmen. As a result of the above investigation, R/Sgt. is unable to determine if the officers are guilty of the allegations, as it is reasonable to assume that the officers believed that all parties were satisfied and that they complied with Dept Policy when they sent a property damage only crash into the 008th district.

ATTACHMENTS

INVESTIGATIVE REPORTS—  
SUPPORTING ALLEGATION  
LIST ATTACHMENT NUMBERS:

3,7,11,12,13

INVESTIGATIVE REPORTS—  
SUPPORTING ACCUSED MEMBER(S)  
LIST ATTACHMENT NUMBERS:

1a, 2

PHYSICAL EVIDENCE  
LIST ATTACHMENT NUMBERS:

none

TOTAL NUMBER OF ATTACHMENTS  
SUBMITTED WITH THIS FILE:

13

Summarize the findings and recommendations. Rule violations will be cited by number only. One overall recommendation for Disciplinary Action will be made by the investigator. The recommendation will be for ALL sustained findings; recommendations will NOT be made for each sustained allegation.

Example: 1. Violation noted, no disciplinary action warranted. 2. That the accused member be reprimanded. 3. That the accused member be suspended for ..... days (not to exceed 5 days).

FINDINGS

re

a

n

a

---- as to allegation that P.O. Forberg responded to a traffic accident and failed to investigate or make a report- NOT SUSTAINED  
as to allegation P.O. Silder responded to a traffic accident and failed to investigate or make a report- NOT SUSTAINED

RECOMMENDATION---- NONE-CASE TO BE FILED

FINDINGS-RECOMMENDATIONS

REVIEWED BY

[REDACTED]

OCT 01 1999

DATE INITIATED  
(DATE COMPLAINT WAS  
RECEIVED FOR INVESTIGATION)

22 Jul 99

DATE COMPLETED  
(DATE OF THIS  
REPORT)

11 Aug 99

ELAPSED TIME  
(TOTAL TIME  
EXPRESSED IN DAYS)

20

Investigator will initiate the Command Channel Review  
form by completing the Investigator's Section.

INVESTIGATOR'S SIGNATURE

278

IF NECESSARY, USE AN 8 1/2" x 11" SHEET OF WHITE PAPER TO CONTINUE ANY ITEM.